

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

CHADWICK FABIAN VILLAMOR,

Case No.: 2:24-cv-00940-APG-NJK

Plaintiff

V.

OFFICER J. METCALFE, et al.,

## Defendants

**Order Accepting Report and  
Recommendation and Dismissing Without  
Prejudice Defendants Bookman,  
Manzanedo, Campos, and Gillum**

[ECF No. 21]

8 On December 31, 2024, Magistrate Judge Koppe recommended that I dismiss without  
9 prejudice plaintiff Chadwick Villamor’s search and seizure claim against defendants Bookman,  
10 Manzanedo, Campos, and Gillum because by Villamor’s own allegations, the police officers had  
11 probable cause. ECF No. 21 at 3-4. Villamor did not object. Thus, I am not obligated to conduct  
12 a de novo review of the report and recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring district  
13 courts to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed  
14 findings to which objection is made”); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th  
15 Cir. 2003) (en banc) (“the district judge must review the magistrate judge’s findings and  
16 recommendations de novo *if objection is made*, but not otherwise” (emphasis in original)).

17 I THEREFORE ORDER that Magistrate Judge Koppe's report and recommendation  
18 (**ECF No. 21**) is accepted, and plaintiff Chadwick Villamor's search and seizure claim against  
19 defendants Bookman, Manzanedo, Campos, and Gillum is dismissed without prejudice. The  
20 excessive force claim against defendants Metcalfe and Ktring remains pending. *See* ECF Nos.  
21 12 at 2; 21 at 2 n.1.

DATED this 17th day of January, 2025.



---

ANDREW P. GORDON  
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE